
The Profile of the College Student Experience 2012

Safety & Security
Report -
National & Regional
Comparison Groups

Student Affairs Office of
Quality Enhancement




Executive Summary

The *Profile of the College Student Experience (Profile)* was administered to 4,158 TCU students in the spring of 2012. A total of 1,181 students responded resulting in a 28.4% response rate. The sample exceeded the minimum sample size of 583 that was required for results to be generalizable.

This report presents the results related to safety and security, including student involvement in criminal justice and violence protection issues, student perceptions of safety areas of concern for students, student utilization of services, defense practices used by students, and service feedback from students who have been victimized. While students reported “feeling” less safe on and around campus in certain areas, they also noted the many efforts the University has taken to provide a safe and secure living and learning environment. These efforts included security escort services, consistent and visible patrols, emergency notifications through various media platforms, and an attention to policy and practice designed to increase safety and security. While this report does suggest specific areas of concern, the report also highlights and demonstrates the effectiveness of previous and current efforts related to student safety and security.


National comparative data, as well as regional comparative data, is presented. A listing of institutions used in the comparative group is included at the end of this report.

Criminal Justice and Violence Protection Service Provision by Students


| In what capacity have you served in the following area(s) in the past year? (Check all that apply) - Criminal justice and violence protection | | | |
|--|--|---|--|
| |  Spring 2012 National Average |  Spring 2012 Texas Christian University |  Spring 2012 Regional Comparison Group (Midwest including Ohio and Texas) Average |
| I raised money for this area. | 11.50% | 16.67% | 13.51% |
| I participated at an event or short term project. | 51.50% | 50.00% | 43.24% |
| I was involved on a regular basis for a period of time (e.g., once a week for an entire semester or service weekend/trip). | 31.00% | 33.33% | 36.49% |
| I took a course that served in this area. | 33.00% | 27.78% | 27.03% |
| I was deeply involved in this project or organization (e.g., service internship, several weeks or months intensive program). | 19.50% | 27.78% | 17.57% |
| I held a leadership role or started this organization. | 6.50% | 11.11% | 9.46% |
| <i>Total Respondents</i> | 200 | 18 | 74 |

Of the 1,181 respondents, 18 reported participating in some form of service related to criminal justice and/or violence protection. Three of these students (16.67%) reported raising money in this area. Half of the students (n=9) reported participating in an event or some other short-term project. One-third of these students (n=6) were involved on a regular basis for a period of time. Five of the students (27.78%) reported having taken an academic course related to criminal justice and/or violence protection. This same number (n=5) reported being deeply involved in a project or organization related to this issue. Two students (11.11%) reported holding leadership roles in related student organizations. Indications of statistical significance were unavailable.


Student Perceptions of Safety




On average, TCU students felt significantly less safe walking alone on campus after dark than did the national average (-0.23, p<.05). While TCU students also felt less safe walking alone after dark than did students in the regional comparison group, the difference was not statistically significant (-0.07).




On average, TCU students felt significantly less safe waiting alone on campus for public transportation after dark than did students from the national comparison group (-0.39, p<.05) as well as the regional comparison group (-0.18, p<.05).




On average, TCU students felt significantly less safe walking alone in parking areas on campus after dark than did students from the national comparison group (-0.38, p<.05) as well as the regional comparison group (-0.12, p<.05).




On average, TCU students felt significantly less safe walking alone to a campus residence hall after dark than did students from the national comparison group (-0.32, p<.05) as well as the regional comparison group (-0.11, p<.05).




On average, TCU students felt significantly less safe working in the library late at night than did students from the national comparison group (-0.16, $p < .05$) as well as the regional comparison group (-0.12, $p < .05$).



On average, TCU students felt less safe in the community surrounding campus during the day than did students from the national comparison group (-0.03). However, TCU students felt safer in the community surrounding campus during the day than did students in the regional comparison group (0.03). Neither of these differences was statistically significant.



On average, TCU students felt significantly less safe in the community surrounding campus at night than did students from the national comparison group (-0.39, p<.05). TCU students also felt less safe in the community surrounding campus at night than did students in the regional comparison group (-0.04), however, this difference was not statistically significant.



On average, TCU students felt significantly less safe on campus overall than did students from the national comparison group (-0.06, p<.05). TCU students felt safer on campus overall than did students in the regional comparison group (0.04), however, this difference was not statistically significant.

Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements: - There is an adequate campus safety/campus police presence on campus.

| | Mean | Difference | Std Dev | N | Top 2 | Bottom 2 | Rank |
|--|-------------|--------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--------------|------|
| Spring 2012 National Average | 4.02 | 0.30* | 1.02 | 9932 | 75.50% | 9.62% | |
| Spring 2012 Texas Christian University | 4.32 | --- | 0.81 | 758 | 89.45% | 4.88% | |
| Spring 2012 Regional Comparison Group (Midwest including Ohio and Texas) Average | 4.14 | 0.18* | 0.95 | 2645 | 83.48% | 8.43% | |

* Indicates statistical significance, $p < .05$

| | Spring 2012 National Average | Spring 2012 Texas Christian University | Spring 2012 Regional Comparison Group (Midwest including Ohio and Texas) Average |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| Strongly agree | 36.32% | 46.97% | 39.34% |
| Somewhat agree | 35.55% | 40.52% | 41.16% |
| Neither agree nor disagree | 14.17% | 5.55% | 7.80% |
| Somewhat disagree | 6.80% | 4.13% | 6.23% |
| Strongly disagree | 2.36% | 0.65% | 1.90% |
| Not applicable/Does not apply to me | 4.81% | 2.19% | 3.57% |
| <i>Total Respondents</i> | 10434 | 775 | 2743 |

On average, TCU students indicated an adequate safety presence on campus significantly more than did students from the national comparison group (0.30, $p < .05$), as well as the regional comparison group (0.18, $p < .05$).


Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements: - Campus security/campus police are responsive to campus safety issues.

| | Mean | Difference | Std Dev | N | Top 2 | Bottom 2 | Rank |
|--|-------------|--------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--------------|------|
| Spring 2012 National Average | 4.01 | 0.22* | 0.98 | 8972 | 71.22% | 6.45% | |
| Spring 2012 Texas Christian University | 4.22 | --- | 0.91 | 691 | 81.04% | 5.21% | |
| Spring 2012 Regional Comparison Group (Midwest including Ohio and Texas) Average | 4.10 | 0.13* | 0.97 | 2457 | 77.33% | 7.29% | |

* Indicates statistical significance, $p < .05$

| | Spring 2012 National Average | Spring 2012 Texas Christian University | Spring 2012 Regional Comparison Group (Midwest including Ohio and Texas) Average |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| Strongly agree | 32.78% | 42.51% | 37.11% |
| Somewhat agree | 28.63% | 29.84% | 32.29% |
| Neither agree nor disagree | 19.25% | 12.27% | 13.81% |
| Somewhat disagree | 3.86% | 3.75% | 5.04% |
| Strongly disagree | 1.70% | 0.90% | 1.50% |
| Not applicable/Does not apply to me | 13.77% | 10.72% | 10.26% |
| <i>Total Respondents</i> | 10405 | 774 | 2738 |

On average, TCU students indicated that campus police were responsive to campus safety issues significantly more than did students from the national comparison group (0.22, $p < .05$), as well as the regional comparison group (0.13, $p < .05$).



On average, TCU students indicated that campus administrators and staff consistently enforce security policies on campus significantly more than did students from the national comparison group (0.27, $p < .05$), as well as the regional comparison group (0.16, $p < .05$).

Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements: - People who live in my residential community take security seriously.

| | Mean | Difference | Std Dev | N | Top 2 | Bottom 2 | Rank |
|--|-------------|--------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---------------|------|
| Spring 2012 National Average | 3.63 | 0.10* | 1.07 | 8384 | 58.81% | 15.15% | |
| Spring 2012 Texas Christian University | 3.73 | --- | 1.07 | 593 | 64.59% | 16.02% | |
| Spring 2012 Regional Comparison Group (Midwest including Ohio and Texas) Average | 3.63 | 0.10 | 1.08 | 2311 | 61.06% | 16.75% | |

* Indicates statistical significance, p < .05

| | Spring 2012 National Average | Spring 2012 Texas Christian University | Spring 2012 Regional Comparison Group (Midwest including Ohio and Texas) Average |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| Strongly agree | 18.63% | 20.44% | 19.07% |
| Somewhat agree | 28.77% | 29.11% | 32.47% |
| Neither agree nor disagree | 20.98% | 14.88% | 18.74% |
| Somewhat disagree | 9.08% | 10.48% | 10.99% |
| Strongly disagree | 3.12% | 1.81% | 3.14% |
| Not applicable/Does not apply to me | 19.42% | 23.29% | 15.60% |
| <i>Total Respondents</i> | <i>10404</i> | <i>773</i> | <i>2738</i> |

On average, TCU students indicated that people who lived “in my residential community” take security seriously significantly more than did students from the national comparison group (0.10, p<.05). While TCU students responded more favorably to this item than did students in the regional comparison group, the difference was not statistically significant (0.10).




Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements: - I have a plan if there is a natural disaster (e.g., earthquake, tornado, fire, flood) on campus.

| | Mean | Difference | Std Dev | N | Top 2 | Bottom 2 | Rank |
|--|-------------|--------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---------------|------|
| Spring 2012 National Average | 3.19 | 0.55* | 1.32 | 9696 | 46.52% | 32.65% | |
| Spring 2012 Texas Christian University | 3.74 | --- | 1.23 | 741 | 65.05% | 18.89% | |
| Spring 2012 Regional Comparison Group (Midwest including Ohio and Texas) Average | 3.31 | 0.43* | 1.31 | 2600 | 50.42% | 29.88% | |

* Indicates statistical significance, p < .05




| | Spring 2012 National Average | Spring 2012 Texas Christian University | Spring 2012 Regional Comparison Group (Midwest including Ohio and Texas) Average |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| Strongly agree | 17.80% | 32.65% | 20.99% |
| Somewhat agree | 25.48% | 29.55% | 26.86% |
| Neither agree nor disagree | 19.37% | 15.35% | 18.69% |
| Somewhat disagree | 17.46% | 11.74% | 17.15% |
| Strongly disagree | 12.91% | 6.32% | 11.20% |
| Not applicable/Does not apply to me | 6.98% | 4.39% | 5.11% |
| <i>Total Respondents</i> | <i>10424</i> | <i>775</i> | <i>2740</i> |

On average, TCU students indicated having a plan in case of natural disaster significantly more than did students from the national comparison group (0.55, $p < .05$), as well as the regional comparison group (0.43, $p < .05$).

| How often, on average, do you see campus safety officers patrolling the campus? | | | |
|--|--|---|--|
| |  Spring 2012 National Average |  Spring 2012 Texas Christian University |  Spring 2012 Regional Comparison Group (Midwest including Ohio and Texas) Average |
| Daily | 32.65% | 53.31% | 40.92% |
| Several times per week | 23.48% | 27.24% | 27.67% |
| About once per week | 13.32% | 8.82% | 12.70% |
| A few times per month | 7.26% | 4.02% | 6.41% |
| About once per month | 2.86% | 0.91% | 2.23% |
| A few times per semester/quarter | 5.14% | 2.08% | 2.67% |
| About once per semester/quarter | 3.30% | 0.52% | 1.10% |
| Less than once per semester/quarter | 3.15% | 0.65% | 1.54% |
| Never | 8.84% | 2.46% | 4.76% |
| <i>Total Respondents</i> | 10367 | 771 | 2732 |

On average, TCU students indicated seeing campus police representatives patrolling the campus more than did students from the national comparison group, as well as the regional comparison group. Indications of statistical significance were unavailable.




Areas of Student Concern

| Are there areas/locations on campus where the lighting at night is not adequate and makes it feel unsafe? | | | |
|--|--|---|--|
| |  Spring 2012 National Average |  Spring 2012 Texas Christian University |  Spring 2012 Regional Comparison Group (Midwest including Ohio and Texas) Average |
| Yes (please explain) | 24.52% | 27.75% | 31.76% |
| No | 44.13% | 41.82% | 39.60% |
| No basis to judge | 31.35% | 30.43% | 28.63% |
| <i>Total Respondents</i> | <i>10818</i> | <i>782</i> | <i>2780</i> |

Just over one-quarter (27.75%) of TCU students surveyed indicated that there were areas/locations on campus where the lighting at night is not adequate. This percentage was higher than the national comparison group (24.52%), but less than the regional comparison group (31.76%). Indications of statistical significance were unavailable.

Frequently cited areas/locations included:

- Parking Lots
 - Freshman (26)
 - Sandage (20)
 - Overflow (6)
 - Tom Brown/Pete Wright
- Academic Facilities
 - Library (17)
 - Moudy (11)
 - Business (4)
 - DEMT (3)
 - Bass (1)
 - Frog Henge (1)
 - Miller Clinic (1)
- Administrative Facilities
 - Jarvis (3)
- Athletic Facilities
 - Running Path (8)
 - DMC (4)
- Residential Facilities
 - Greek/Worth Hills (17)
 - Grand Marc (10)
 - Colby (2)

| Are there any specific areas/locations on campus where you do not feel safe? | | | |
|---|--|---|--|
| |  Spring 2012 National Average |  Spring 2012 Texas Christian University |  Spring 2012 Regional Comparison Group (Midwest including Ohio and Texas) Average |
| Yes (please explain) | 16.64% | 23.64% | 20.74% |
| No | 83.36% | 76.36% | 79.26% |
| <i>Total Respondents</i> | <i>10685</i> | <i>774</i> | <i>2740</i> |

Just under one-quarter (23.64%) of TCU students surveyed indicated that there were areas/locations on campus where they did not feel safe. This percentage was higher than the national comparison group (16.64%) as well as the regional comparison group (20.74%). Almost all students included “at night” in their responses.

Frequently cited areas/locations included:

“Scary Berry” (13)
All Areas of Campus (5)
East Side of Campus (5)
University Dr. (1)

Parking Lots

Freshman (22)
Sandage (14)
Overflow (13)
Tom Brown/Pete Wright (1)

Academic Facilities

Library (18)
DEMT (3)
Sid Richardson (2)
Miller Clinic (2)
Ed Landreth (1)

Administrative Facilities

Jarvis (1)

Athletic Facilities

Running Path (3)
DMC (2)

Residential Facilities

Greek/Worth Hills (8)
Grand Marc (5)
Brachman (1)

Student Utilization of Safety & Security Services




| During the past 12 months, have you . . . ? - Used an emergency phone on campus | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|---|---|
| | Spring 2012 National Average | Spring 2012 Texas Christian University | Spring 2012 Regional Comparison Group (Midwest including Ohio and Texas) Average |
| Yes | 1.21% | 1.16% | 0.98% |
| No, but my campus does have this feature/option. | 79.32% | 91.87% | 86.00% |
| I do not know if my campus has this feature/option. | 17.63% | 6.19% | 11.37% |
| My campus does not have this feature/option. | 1.84% | 0.77% | 1.64% |
| <i>Total Respondents</i> | <i>10307</i> | <i>775</i> | <i>2743</i> |

Of the 775 respondents to this item, 9 (1.16%) reported using one of the emergency phones on campus. Ninety-two percent of respondents knew of the phones but had not used (n=712). Ninety-three percent of respondents (n=721) were aware of emergency phones. Six percent of respondents (n=48) reportedly did not know of the emergency phones on campus. Less than one percent of respondents (n=6) reported that TCU did not have emergency phones on campus. A higher percentage of TCU students (93.03%) knew of emergency phones on campus than students in both the national (80.53%) and regional (86.98%) comparison groups. Indications of statistical significance were unavailable.

| During the past 12 months, have you . . . ? - Used a campus security escort (walking escort) | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|---|---|
| | Spring 2012 National Average | Spring 2012 Texas Christian University | Spring 2012 Regional Comparison Group (Midwest including Ohio and Texas) Average |
| Yes | 2.47% | 9.21% | 3.37% |
| No, but my campus does have this feature/option. | 60.58% | 67.44% | 61.24% |
| I do not know if my campus has this feature/option. | 33.66% | 19.07% | 29.80% |
| My campus does not have this feature/option. | 3.29% | 4.28% | 5.60% |
| <i>Total Respondents</i> | <i>10140</i> | <i>771</i> | <i>2732</i> |




Of the 771 respondents to this item, 71 (9.21%) reported using a walking campus security escort. Sixty-seven percent of respondents knew of this feature but had not used (n=520). Seventy-six percent of respondents (n=591) were aware of walking security escorts. Nineteen percent of respondents (n=147) reportedly did not know of the walking security escorts. Less than five percent of respondents (n=33) reported that TCU did not have walking security escorts. A higher percentage of TCU students (76.65%) knew walking security escorts than students in both the national (63.05%) and regional (64.61%) comparison groups. Indications of statistical significance were unavailable.

During the past 12 months, have you . . .? - Used a campus 'safe ride' (car/van transportation)

| |  Spring 2012 National Average |  Spring 2012 Texas Christian University |  Spring 2012 Regional Comparison Group (Midwest including Ohio and Texas) Average |
|---|--|--|--|
| Yes | 10.59% | 31.65% | 25.05% |
| No, but my campus does have this feature/option. | 47.40% | 55.12% | 59.10% |
| I do not know if my campus has this feature/option. | 37.45% | 11.15% | 13.04% |
| My campus does not have this feature/option. | 4.56% | 2.08% | 2.82% |
| <i>Total Respondents</i> | 10025 | 771 | 2731 |




Of the 771 respondents to this item, 244 (31.65%) reported using a mobile campus security escort (Froggie Five-O). Fifty-five percent of respondents knew of this feature but had not used (n=425). Eighty-seven percent of respondents (n=669) were aware of mobile security escorts. Eleven percent of respondents (n=86) reportedly did not know of the mobile security escorts. Less than three percent of respondents (n=16) reported that TCU did not have mobile security escorts. A higher percentage of TCU students (86.77%) knew of mobile security escorts than students in both the national (57.99%) and regional (84.15%) comparison groups. Indications of statistical significance were unavailable.

During the past 12 months, have you . . .? - Received an emergency text message from the college/university




| |  Spring 2012 National Average |  Spring 2012 Texas Christian University |  Spring 2012 Regional Comparison Group (Midwest including Ohio and Texas) Average |
|---|--|--|--|
| Yes | 47.89% | 94.34% | 70.81% |
| No, but my campus does have this feature/option. | 33.36% | 5.02% | 22.05% |
| I do not know if my campus has this feature/option. | 17.06% | 0.39% | 6.45% |
| My campus does not have this feature/option. | 1.69% | 0.26% | 0.69% |
| <i>Total Respondents</i> | 10420 | 777 | 2741 |

Of the 777 respondents to this item, 733 (94.34%) reported receiving an emergency text message from TCU. Five percent of respondents knew of this feature but had not used (n=39). Ninety-nine percent of respondents (n=772) were aware of emergency text messages from the university. Less than one percent of respondents (n=3) reportedly did not know of the text messaging feature. Less than one percent of respondents (n=2) reported that TCU did not have emergency text messaging. A higher percentage of TCU students (99.36%) knew about emergency text messaging than students in both the national (81.25%) and regional (92.86%) comparison groups. Indications of statistical significance were unavailable.

Student Defense Practices




| Do you ever carry items such as mace or pepper spray to defend yourself? | | | |
|---|--|---|--|
| |  Spring 2012 National Average |  Spring 2012 Texas Christian University |  Spring 2012 Regional Comparison Group (Midwest including Ohio and Texas) Average |
| Yes | 15.75% | 22.24% | 16.85% |
| No | 84.25% | 77.76% | 83.15% |
| <i>Total Respondents</i> | <i>10455</i> | <i>778</i> | <i>2748</i> |

Of the 778 respondents to this item, 173 (22.24%) reported carrying mace and/or pepper spray for defense purposes. Just under seventy-eight percent of respondents did not carry mace and/or pepper spray for defense purposes (n=605). A higher percentage of TCU students (22.24%) reported carrying mace and/or pepper spray than students in both the national (15.75%) and regional (16.85%) comparison groups. Indications of statistical significance were unavailable.




| Have you ever seen or known of a student, including yourself, who has possessed a gun while on campus? | | | |
|---|--|---|--|
| |  Spring 2012 National Average |  Spring 2012 Texas Christian University |  Spring 2012 Regional Comparison Group (Midwest including Ohio and Texas) Average |
| Yes | 9.34% | 8.52% | 4.88% |
| No | 90.66% | 91.48% | 95.12% |
| <i>Total Respondents</i> | <i>10434</i> | <i>775</i> | <i>2748</i> |

Of the 775 respondents to this item, 66 (8.52%) reported knowing someone, including self, in possession of a gun while on campus. Just over ninety percent of respondents did not know someone, including self, in possession of a gun while on campus (n=709). A higher percentage of students from the national comparison group (9.34%) reported knowing someone, including self, in possession of a gun while on campus than did TCU students. However, fewer students in the regional comparison group (4.88%) reported knowledge of gun possession than did TCU students. Indications of statistical significance were unavailable.

Student Experiences as Victims of Crime

| Have you ever been a victim of a crime on this campus and did you report the crime to campus safety/police? | | | |
|--|--|---|--|
| |  Spring 2012 National Average |  Spring 2012 Texas Christian University |  Spring 2012 Regional Comparison Group (Midwest including Ohio and Texas) Average |
| Yes. I reported the crime. | 3.34% | 4.90% | 4.55% |
| Yes. I did not report the crime. | 2.04% | 2.19% | 2.29% |
| No | 94.62% | 92.91% | 93.15% |
| <i>Total Respondents</i> | <i>10444</i> | <i>776</i> | <i>2746</i> |

Of the 776 respondents to this item, 38 (4.90%) reported having been the victim of a crime on campus and reporting it. Two percent of respondents (n=17) reported having been the victim of a crime on campus but not reporting it. Just over ninety-two percent of respondents had not been the victim of an on-campus crime (n=721). A higher percentage of TCU students (7.09%) reported being the victim of a crime on campus than students in both the national (5.38%) and regional (6.84%) comparison groups. Indications of statistical significance were unavailable.

| What best describes the type of crime that you experienced on this campus? | | | |
|--|--|---|--|
| <i>If you have experienced a crime more than once on this campus, please think about the most recent experience.</i> | | | |
| |  Spring 2012 National Average |  Spring 2012 Texas Christian University |  Spring 2012 Regional Comparison Group (Midwest including Ohio and Texas) Average |
| Property crime (theft or damage of personal property) | 58.09% | 52.73% | 56.45% |
| Personal, violent crime | 12.05% | 18.18% | 13.98% |
| Sexual crime | 20.50% | 21.82% | 24.19% |
| Hate crime | 6.65% | 5.45% | 4.30% |
| Cyber crime | 5.76% | 7.27% | 4.84% |
| Another type of crime | 12.95% | 9.09% | 9.68% |
| <i>Total Respondents</i> | <i>556</i> | <i>55</i> | <i>186</i> |

Of the 55 respondents to this item, 29 (52.73%) reported experiencing property crime; 12 (21.82%) reported experiencing sexual crime; 10 (18.18%) reported experiencing personal, violent crime; 4 (7.27%) reported experiencing cybercrime; 3 (5.45%) reported experiencing hate crime; and, 5 (9.09%) reported experiencing another type of crime not previously cited. Indications of statistical significance were unavailable.

| How satisfied were you with the campus safety/campus police response? | | | | | |
|--|-------------|------------|-------------|-----------|----------------------|
| | Mean | Difference | Std Dev | N | Top 2 Bottom 2 Rank |
| Spring 2012 National Average | 3.18 | -0.08 | 1.50 | 348 | 51.72% 37.36% |
| Spring 2012 Texas Christian University | 3.11 | --- | 1.56 | 38 | 50.00% 36.84% |
| Spring 2012 Regional Comparison Group (Midwest including Ohio and Texas) Average | 3.10 | 0.00 | 1.46 | 124 | 50.81% 39.52% |

| | Spring 2012 National Average | Spring 2012 Texas Christian University | Spring 2012 Regional Comparison Group (Midwest including Ohio and Texas) Average |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| Very satisfied | 24.71% | 23.68% | 20.16% |
| Somewhat satisfied | 27.01% | 26.32% | 30.65% |
| Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied | 10.92% | 13.16% | 9.68% |
| Somewhat dissatisfied | 16.38% | 10.53% | 18.55% |
| Very dissatisfied | 20.98% | 26.32% | 20.97% |
| <i>Total Respondents</i> | <i>348</i> | <i>38</i> | <i>124</i> |

On average, TCU students felt less satisfied with the campus safety/campus police response after reporting a crime than did students from the national comparison group (-0.08). However, TCU students felt more satisfied with the campus safety/campus police response after reporting a crime than did students in the regional comparison group (0.01). Neither of these differences was statistically significant.




Of the 38 respondents to this item, 19 (50%) reported being either “very” or “somewhat” satisfied. Just over thirty-six percent (36.85%) of respondents reported being either “very” or “somewhat” dissatisfied (n=14). Thirteen percent (13.16%) reported being neither satisfied nor dissatisfied with the campus response (n=5).

| Why did you not report the crime? (Check all that apply) | | | |
|--|------------------------------|--|--|
| | Spring 2012 National Average | Spring 2012 Texas Christian University | Spring 2012 Regional Comparison Group (Midwest including Ohio and Texas) Average |
| The incident was too minor to report. | 36.02% | 41.18% | 32.26% |
| I did not want to take the time to report it. | 22.75% | 11.76% | 16.13% |
| I did not know how to report it. | 25.12% | 0.00% | 17.74% |
| I was afraid of retaliation by the offender if I did. | 22.27% | 47.06% | 27.42% |
| The offender was a friend. | 17.06% | 23.53% | 20.97% |
| It was too personal. | 25.12% | 35.29% | 35.48% |
| I was doing something illegal at the time. | 6.16% | 0.00% | 6.45% |
| I was equally involved. | 3.79% | 0.00% | 4.84% |
| I didn't think the police could do anything. | 45.02% | 29.41% | 53.23% |
| Other (please specify) | 13.74% | 11.76% | 14.52% |
| <i>Total Respondents</i> | <i>211</i> | <i>17</i> | <i>62</i> |

Of the 17 respondents to this item, 8 (47.06%) did not report the crime for fear of retaliation; 7 (41.18%) did not report because it was too minor; 6 (35.29%) did not report because it was too personal; 5 (29.41%) did not report because they believed the police couldn't do anything; 4




(23.53%) did not report because the offender was a friend; 2 (11.76%) did not report because they didn't want to take the time; and, 2 (11.76%) did not report for other unspecified reasons. Indications of statistical significance were unavailable.

Have you ever been a victim of any of the following while enrolled at this college/university?

| |  Spring 2012 National Average |  Spring 2012 Texas Christian University |  Spring 2012 Regional Comparison Group (Midwest including Ohio and Texas) Average |
|--|--|---|--|
| Text harassment | 3.37% | 4.02% | 3.18% |
| Cyber bullying | 1.87% | 2.72% | 2.10% |
| Cyber stalking | 1.82% | 1.95% | 1.85% |
| Identity theft | 1.70% | 4.15% | 2.22% |
| Other type of cyber crime (please specify) | 0.74% | 0.65% | 0.55% |
| None of the above | 92.60% | 89.23% | 92.50% |
| <i>Total Respondents</i> | 10608 | 771 | 2708 |

Of the 771 respondents to this item, 688 (89.23%) reported not experiencing any of the listed forms of harassment; 32 (4.15%) reported experiencing identity theft; 31 (4.02%) reported experiencing text harassment; 21 (2.72%) reported experiencing cyber bullying; 15 (1.95%) reported experiencing cyber stalking; and, 5 (9.09%) reported experiencing another type of cyber crime not previously cited. Indications of statistical significance were unavailable.

Student Participant Class Standings

| Please indicate your current class standing: | | | |
|--|---|---|---|
| |  Spring 2012 National Average |  Spring 2012 Texas Christian University |  Spring 2012 Regional Comparison Group (Midwest including Ohio and Texas) Average |
| First year/Freshmen | 19.10% | 15.06% | 21.85% |
| Sophomore | 20.73% | 18.05% | 22.49% |
| Junior | 22.73% | 22.10% | 22.35% |
| Senior | 27.19% | 22.20% | 24.29% |
| Graduate student | 8.03% | 21.72% | 8.22% |
| Non-degree seeking | 0.76% | 0.29% | 0.19% |
| Other | 1.47% | 0.58% | 0.61% |
| <i>Total Respondents</i> | <i>13577</i> | <i>1036</i> | <i>3602</i> |

Of the 1036 respondents to this item, 156 (15.06%) were First year/Freshmen; 187 (18.05%) were Sophomores; 229 (22.10%) were Juniors; 230 (22.20%) were Seniors; 225 (21.72%) were Graduate students; 3 (0.29%) were Non-degree seeking students; and, 6 (0.58%) reported “other.” Indications of statistical significance were unavailable.

Comparison Group Participants

Below are the segments included in the results that contain multiple projects.

| Spring 2012 National Average |
|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Arcadia University - Consortium: Profile of the College Student Experience• Augustana College (South Dakota) - Consortium: Profile of the College Student Experience• Butler University - Consortium: Profile of the College Student Experience• College of Saint Benedict/Saint John's University - Consortium: Profile of the College Student Experience• Denison University - Consortium: Profile of the College Student Experience• Duquesne University - Consortium: Profile of the College Student Experience• Eastern Connecticut State University - Consortium: Profile of the College Student Experience• Fort Hays State University - Consortium: Profile of the College Student Experience• Georgia College & State University - Consortium: Profile of the College Student Experience• Kalamazoo College - Consortium: Profile of the College Student Experience• Macalester College - Consortium: Profile of the College Student Experience• Miami University of Ohio - Consortium: Profile of the College Student Experience• Mount St. Mary's University IPEDS: 163462 - Consortium: Profile of the College Student Experience• Northwestern University - Consortium: Profile of the College Student Experience• Portland State University - Consortium: Profile of the College Student Experience• Samford University - Consortium: Profile of the College Student Experience• Southern Utah University - Consortium: Profile of the College Student Experience• Spring Hill College - Consortium: Profile of the College Student Experience• Texas Christian University - Consortium: Profile of the College Student Experience• University at Albany - Consortium: Profile of the College Student Experience• University of Alaska - Anchorage - Consortium: Profile of the College Student Experience• University of Maine - Consortium: Profile of Today's College Student Experience Spring 2012• University of Minnesota-Duluth - Consortium: Profile of the College Student Experience• University of Nevada, Las Vegas - Consortium: Profile of the College Student Experience• University of Oregon - Consortium: Profile of the College Student Experience• Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University - Consortium: Profile of the College Student Experience• Weber State University - Consortium: Profile of the College Student Experience |
| Spring 2012 Regional Comparison Group (Midwest including Ohio and Texas) Average |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Butler University - Consortium: Profile of the College Student Experience• Denison University - Consortium: Profile of the College Student Experience• Fort Hays State University - Consortium: Profile of the College Student Experience• Kalamazoo College - Consortium: Profile of the College Student Experience• Miami University of Ohio - Consortium: Profile of the College Student Experience• Northwestern University - Consortium: Profile of the College Student Experience• Texas Christian University - Consortium: Profile of the College Student Experience |